



### **EVENT REPORT FORM**

Type of event	Roundtable with non-academic sector
Venue	TCASU Premises, Leposavic
Date	03.11.2020.
Organizer	TCASU
Reporting date	03.11.2020.
Report author(s)	Jelena Rajovic, Predrag Stanojevic

### **EVENT DESCRIPTION**

### with special reference to goals and outcomes

Number of participants at the event	11
Participants (organisations)	7

#### Event description:

As a part of the WP 6 Dissemination and exploitation, A6.4 Roundtables with non-academic sector, TCASU has organized a session with representatives of local industries and companies. The roundtable was organized on 03.11.2020. and lasted from 10:00 h to 14:00h.

The participants of the roundtable were representatives of 8 companies from Leposavic area: Public company Energetika; Public Utility Company Leposavic; Public Utility ElektroKosmet; Police; Fire Department; Leposavic Municipality, including TCASU representatives.

At the meeting, participants were introduced with the main objectives of SWARM project and new TCASU study programme. Predrag Stanojevic explained to participants the structure and the learning outcomes of the new study programme.

Participants expressed the interest for new study programme and concluded that future specialist will have opportunity to work on water quality programme. Namely, as representative from Public Utility Company Leposavic said, the Municipality of Leposavic planes to build wastewater facility in near future, and it would we be a great opportunity for TCASU graduate students of a new study programme to learn practical work and look for potential employment.

The final remarks were regarding the possible cooperation with present companies and TCASU for **University of Nis** internship programmes for TCASU students and other projects concerning water quality geatment where our students and potentials of our Institution could be utilized.



### Attachments

Agenda (pdf)	TCASU agenda	
Attendance list (pdf)	TCASU Attendance list	
Photos (jpg)	Title(s)	
Presentations (pdf)	NA	
Other personal remarks		











## Organisation details

Date of event material release	29.10.2020.		
Date of participants list's finalisation	29.10.2020.		
Date of agenda finalisation	30.10.2020.		
Number of participants (according to the	11		
attendance list)			
Comments			

# Event Report

Participants were informed about the meeting on 30.10.2020.					
Problems encountered of	during the event preparation phase				
Please add your comments, if any:					
	ons thereof, only 11 participants responded to the				
Strengths and limitations of the event (please i	include comments received)				
Strengths of the event and contributions or					
activities by participants	Exchange of information and experiences.				
Suggestions for the improvement	NA				
Any further comments	NA				
Evalu	uation details				
Results of evaluation of the general organisation of the event					
Description					
All participants evaluated the general organisation of the event as Excellent.					



The general organisation of the event						
Grading	Very poor	Poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Logistic preparation and organization of event	/	/	/	/	11	
Content of the agenda	/	/	/	/	11	
Arrangements of the event (venue, equipment, etc.)	/	/	/	/	11	

Add Figure

### Results of evaluation of general working communication

# Description General working communication was evaluated as Excellent by 11 participants.

### Table/Figure

### General working communication

Grading	Very poor	Poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Communication before the event	/	/	/	/	11
Duration and timetable of the event	/	/	/	/	11
Quality of materials provided during the event	/	/	/	/	11
Quality of presentations	/	/	/	/	11
Communication between the organizer and the other partners	/	/	/	/	11
Engagement of the participants in the activities and discussions	/	/	/	/	11



\_\_\_There were no additional comments

### Results of evaluation of overall success of the event

Results of evaluation of overall success of the event						
Description						
Overall success of the event was evaluated as excellent by all participants.						
Table/Figure						
Overall succes	ss of the e	event				
Grading	Poor	Very poor	Good	Very Good	Excellent	
Mode of reaching the decisions at the event	/	/	/	/	11	
Opportunities to express your opinion and influence decisions	/	/	/	/	11	
Objectives in the agenda regarding the SWARM project are reached	/	/	/	/	11	
Discussion of tasks for the upcoming activities and events	/	/	/	/	11	
Assignment of follow-up tasks	/	/	/	/	11	
Add Figur						
Please indicate your suggestions for further event's improvement:						

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.